



Finding The Best Way to Cope with HFrEF – Advanced Kidney Disease: Personalized Drug Management

Agnes Dinar P, MD, FIHA

Internal Medicine Department, RSJ Prof. Dr. Soerojo Magelang

Cardiology Department ,RSUD Tidar Kota Magelang

POKJA INAHF-CARMET

2023

🛛 🞯 @ina.hf | 🔇 +62811-1900-8855 | 🔄 pokjahf@gmail.com

GOALS

Improve understanding of benefits of GDMT in HF w/ CKD

Improve understanding of acute & chronic hemodynamic e-GFR changes

207

Improve multidisciplinary and personalized care





Bagaimana penatalaksanaan pasien HF + CKD di RS sejawat?

- SpJP dan SpPD/nefrolog rawat bersama, namun blm ada komunikasi langsung
- b. SpJP dan SpPD/nefrolog rawat bersama, membuat keputusan bersama dan bahkan berkomunikasi langsung
- c. Ditatalaksana SpJP tanpa konsultasi SpPD oleh karena suatu alasan
- d. Ditatalaksana SpPD tanpa konsultasi SpJP oleh karena suatu alasan



Which formula?

CURRENT CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE (CKD) NOMENCLATURE USED BY KDIGO



Green: low risk (if no other markers of kidney disease, no CKD); Yellow: moderately increased risk; Orange: high risk; Red: very high risk.

Definitions in 2016 ESC HF Guidelines

- "CKD is generally defined as an eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m2 and/or the presence of albuminuria (high 30 –300 or very high >300 mg albumin/1 g of urine creatinine)."
- "A further deterioration in renal function, termed worsening renal function (WRF), is used to indicate an increase in serum creatinine, usually by >26.5 mmol/L (0.3 mg/dL) and/or a 25% increase or a 20% drop in GFR."



Ponikowski, Voors, et al. 2016 ESC HF Guidelines





(ESC) Warming Up - Question 1

Which patient has the **worst** prognosis?

- A. Geriatric, male, **reduced EF, e-GFR 60**, high NT-pro BNP, overload
- B. Geriatric, male, **preserved EF, e-GFR 40**, high NT-pro BNP, overload



- CKD (eGFR < 60) affects 50 % of HF patients
- **CKD = doubling of risk** for all-cause mortality
- CKD = far more **stronger predictor** than LVEF

Subtotal (95% CI)	277499	534640	39.5%	2.39 [2.25, 2.54]		♦
Total events	27998	28483				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.01; C	hi² = 60.36, df = 26	(P = 0.0001); / ² = 5	7%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 28.	65 (<i>P</i> < 0.00001)					
						I
Subtotal (95% CI)	64967	198998	60.5%	2.26 [2.08, 2.47]		♦
Total events	26336	54701				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.04; Ch	i² = 255.62, df = 29	(P < 0.00001); / ² =	89%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 18.6	B (P < 0.00001)					
Total (95% CI)	342466	733638	100.0%	2.34 [2.20, 2.50]		♦
Total events	54334	83184				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Ch	i ² = 530.74, df = 56	(P < 0.00001); /2=	89%		0.2 0.5	
Test for overall effect: Z = 26.6	5 (P < 0.00001)				0.2 0.5 no CKD	CKD
Test for subgroup differences:	Chi ² = 1.00, df = 1 ($P = 0.32$), $I^2 = 0.0\%$	0		no ord	

High prevalence of CKD in HF (trials, and registries) ...and prognostic significance

Damman K, et all. Renal impairment, worsening renal function, and outcome in patients with heart failure: an updated meta-analysis. European heart journal. 2014;35:455-469.



Challenges in HF- CKD

With Changes in GFR drug therapy: Hyperkalemia

Poor evidence in advanced CKD

Impact of CKD in drug pharmacokinetics

Other comorbid condition



REAL NIGHTMARE? for patients & doctor



GDMT Implementation?



Figure 5 | Positioning of heart failure (HF) therapies according to left ventricular ejection fraction and renal filtration function. ACEi angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; BB, β -blocker; CKD G5D, chronic kidney disease glomerular filtratic rate category 5 patient on dialysis; CKD G5 ND, chronic kidney disease glomerular filtration rate category 5 patient not on dialysis; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; EF, ejection fraction; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; H-ISDN, hydralazine-isosorbide dinitrate; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LBBB, left bundle branch block; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist.



Patel, R.B. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2021;78(4):330-43.





the organ that is in the end responsible for the maladaptive salt and water retention in response to neurohormonal activation

Haemodynamic mechanisms

- Fluid overload and retention of salt and water
- Renal and cardiac congestion (renal venous hypertension)
- Limited organ perfusion (forward failure)
- Vasoconstriction in end organs

there where evidence-based treatments in HFREF exert their action (influence and influenced)



one of the strongest predictors of clinical outcome

ntiuence and influenced)



STUMBLE UPON RENAL DYSFUNCTION?

Table 6.1 Important considerations when approaching a HFREF patient with renal dysfunction

Current situation: Hemodynamics

Is the patient stable? If not, this should be the first treatment goal.

Excessive congestion? Evidence of edema?

Hypo or hypertensive?

Predisposing conditions that can cause (more than expected) renal impairment

Diabetes mellitus

Atherosclerosis

Hypertension

Background therapy

Any medical therapy that can compromise renal function?

Any medical therapy that is renally cleared?

What about HF therapy: what is the current type and dose of evidence based HF therapy,

especially RAAS inhibitors?

Use of (loop) diuretics?

Dynamics in renal function

What was the course of eGFR/serum creatinine in the past weeks/months?

What was the most likely reason for the change?

Any indication of organ damage? What about albuminuria (especially in hypertensives, diabetics)

Any indication of adverse events linked to renal dysfunction?

Hyperkaleamia

Gout like symptoms

Muscle cramps

Abbreviations: eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate, HF: Heart Failure, HFREF: Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction, RAAS: Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone System



RENAL FUNCTION IN ACUTE HEART FAILURE

(Clinical scenario patient admitted with congestion &/ worsening renal function)



Let me show you the case.....

Female, 64 years old w/ HFrEF – Non ICM - DM

2021: Lab Cr 1.3 (e-GFR 49)

Tx: ARNI 2 x 25 mg po, Bisoprolol 5 mg, Spironolactone 50 mg, SGLT2-inh, Furosemide prn, insulin EF 28% \rightarrow 50% \rightarrow type C hospital

2023 June: ER with dyspnea, CXR: congestion + pleural effusion + BRPN, minimal pretibial edema, gallop (+) Tx: Candesartan 16 mg, ISDN 5 mg tid, Amlodipine 5 mg po, Bisoprolol 5 mg, Furosemide 40 mg od, insulin Lab: Cr 2.3 (e-GFR 29), Na 128, K 4.9



What is your preferred diuretic strategy?

- A. Discharge with increased doses of loop diuretics
- B. Furosemide 80 mg iv bolus
- C. Furosemide 80 mg iv bolus + MRA
- D. Else

The 3rd Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic disease 2023



Good loop diuretic response (HFA position statement) if:

> 50-70 meq/L 2 h after

-Urinary output > 100-150 ml/h during first 6-h

diuretic

after diuretic

- Urinary sodium concentration

Total diuresis > 3-4 L first 24 h



IMPORTANT RULES

- 1) Door to diuretic time
- 2) Evaluation within 2-6 hours
- 3) Appropriate dosing according natriuresis/diuresis
- 4) Only stop when the patient is 'dry'
- 5) Continue GDMT

Intervensi Paralel

(1) Lanjutkan GDMT (2) pertimbangkan untuk menggunakan MRA dini pada kondisi kalium yang rendah, (3) restriksi cairan dan garam, (4) kalium dan magnesium IV dapat diberikan jika diperlukan

💿 @ina.hf | 🔇 +62811-1900-8855 | 🔤 pokjahf@gmail.com

Question 3 Fluid balance in 10 hours: Diuresis 3500 cc **BUT**, Creatinine goes up! **Cr 2.2 → 3.1**, K 5.4. Which one is true?

- A. Evaluate non cardiac causes and evaluate congestion
- B. Keep and maintain the dose of ACEi, MRA
- C. Halve the dose of ACE-i and MRA
- D. Keep the ACE-I and halve the MRA
- E. Stop MRA and see nephrologist



- Start with a low dose (see above).
- Consider dose up-titration after 4–8 weeks.
- Check blood chemistry at 1 and 4 weeks after starting/increasing dose and at 8 and 12 weeks; 6, 9, and 12 months; 4-monthly thereafter.
- If K⁺ rises above 5.5 mmol/L or creatinine rises to 221 μmol/L (2.5 mg/dL)/eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m², halve a dose and monitor blood chemistry closely
- If K⁺ rises to >6.0 mmol/L or creatinine to >310 μmol/L (3.5 mg/dL) eGFR <20 mL/min/1.73 m², stop MRA immediately and seek specialist advice.
- A specialist HF nurse may assist with education of the patient, follow-up (in person or by telephone), biochemical monitoring, and dose up-titration.

ACE/ARB WRF and hyperkalaemia:

- Some rise in urea (BUN), creatinine, and K⁺ is to be expected after an ACE-I; if an increase is small and asymptomatic, no action is necessary.
- An increase in creatinine of up to 50% above baseline, or 266 μmol/L (3 mg/dL)/eGFR <25 mL/min/1.73 m², whichever is the smaller, is acceptable.
- An increase in K^+ to ≤ 5.5 mmol/L is acceptable.
- If urea, creatinine, or K⁺ does rise excessively, consider stopping concomitant nephrotoxic drugs (e.g. NSAIDs)^d and other K⁺ supplements or retaining agents (triamterene, amiloride) and, if no signs of congestion, reducing the dose of diuretic.
- If greater rises in creatinine or K⁺ than those outlined above persist despite adjustment of concomitant medications, the dose of the ACE-I (or ARB) should be halved and blood chemistry re-checked within 1–2 weeks; if there is still an unsatisfactory response, specialist advice should be sought.
- If K⁺ rises to >5.5 mmol/L or creatinine increases by >100% or to >310 μmol/L (3.5 mg/dL)/eGFR <20 mL/min/1.73 m², the ACE-I (or ARB) should be stopped and specialist advice sought.
- Blood chemistry should be monitored frequently and serially until K⁺ and creatinine have plateaued.

WRF in acute setting

- 1. First 3 days = shows hemodynamic derangements
- 2. Later changes
 - 1. Effective decongestion = NOT BAD
 - Initiation/up titration of neurohormonal blockade = NOT BAD
 - 3. Concomitant conditions
 - 4. Administered nephrotoxic agents

(27). Mullens et al. demonstrated that it is the elevation of the admission baseline CVP, mean CVP, and discharge CVP rather than the cardiac index (CI) or other hemodynamic parameters that are strongly correlated with the increased risk of WRF for patients with acute decompensated heart failure (ADHF)–



Reduced cardiac output = minor role Central venous pressure = strongly affect



67



Circulation: Heart Failure

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Improvement in Renal Function During the Treatment of Acute Decompensated Heart Failure: Relationship With Markers of Renal Tubular Injury and Prognostic Importance

Improvement in renal function observed during ADHF management is a marker for greater disease severity, including more severe renal dysfunction and likely more congestion.

Creatinine fluctuations = transient change = not a kidney damage

ADHF therapies should not be tailored toward a goal of improvement in renal function!

The focus of care should be identifying and preventing the factors that result in cardiorenal destabilization.



Natov et al, Circulation: Heart Failure. 2023;16

The 3rd Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic disease 2023







Key messages

1. Acute drop in GFR with RAASi, ARNI and SGLT2-i does not diminishes treatment effect

2. A reduction in slope deterioration in HFrEF with ARNI and SGLT2-i is associated with reduced hard renal endpoints

Figure 2 Effect of drugs on renal slope. ARNI, angiotensin receptor–neprilysin inhibitor; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF, heart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; RAASi, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitor; SGLT2-i, sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor. Adapted from Mullens et *al.*³

Don't be afraid, it's OK!



Decongestion (+) , Initiation/Up-titration (+)

Look the medication, not e-GFR only!

Mullens W, Eur J Heart Fail 2020; 22:584-603.



RENAL FUNCTION IN CHRONIC HEART FAILURE

(Clinical scenario: the patient with renal dysfunction)

💿 @ina.hf | 🔇 +62811-1900-8855 | 🖻 pokjahf@gmail.com



Normal vs HF



Key messages

- 1. Acute drop in GFR with RAASi, ARNI and SGLT2-i does not diminishes treatment effect
- 2. A reduction in slope deterioration in HFrEF with ARNI and SGLT2-i is associated with reduced hard renal endpoints

Figure 2 Effect of drugs on renal slope. ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF, heart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; RAASi, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor; SGLT2-i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor. Adapted from Mullens et al.³

In chronic heart failure, changes in GFR over time relate to a progressive **loss of functioning nephrons.**



FOUR PILLARS THERAPY IN HF- ADVANCED CKD



Dark green, strong evidence; light green, moderate evidence; red, not advised; light grey, no data. ACE-I, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ABR, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; CKD, chronic kidney disease (eGFR <60 ml/min/1.73 m²); eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD, end-stage kidney disease; HF, heart failure; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; RAASi, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor; SGLT2-i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor.

Safety of Continuing MRAs in Patients with HFrEF and

B



severe CKD:

Data from Swedish Heart Failure Registry



- An GFR > 30 ml/min/1.73 m² was the strongest predictor of MRA-use
- MRA-use was not associated with a higher risk of mortality, all-cause hospitalization and renal mortality and morbidity, however it was associated with a higher risk of HF hospitalization

• The safety profile was consistent across the different eGFR classes

		Fir	dings in CKD	Subgroups			
			All Cause Mort	ality	CV Death / HF Hospitalization		
Overall			15 – 30% RR	R	13-37% RRR		
CKD Stages	(eGFR in mL	$/min/(1.73m^2)$		I			
CKD stage 1 (> 90) CKD stage 2 (60-89)			34% RRR ⁵⁰		8-24% RRR ^{48,50,51}		
CKD stage 3A (45-59) CKD stage 3B (30-44)			32% RRR ⁵⁰		34-38 % RRR ^{48,50,51}		
CKD stage 4 (15-29)			Limited data No evidence of harm		Limited data No evidence of harm		
CKD stage 5	(<15/Dialysis))	No information in HFREF				
			Effect on Renal I	Function			
	The precis	e pathophysiolo	ogy of the effect of	f MRA on renal t	function is unclear		
Early decline in eGFR after initiation (2.3 to 6.7 mL/min/1.73m ²) ⁴⁸		steep	ng term slope in e er with eplerenone s -0.1 mL/min/1.7	e vs placebo (-	WRF during uptitration of MRA- inhibition not associated with wors outcome ^{39,43}		
Manag	ement of substa	intial increase i	n serum creatini	ne/drop in eGFl	R during initiation/uptitration		
				the risks associa	in eGFR is expected and acceptable. ted with this perceived worsening of		
∆ serum creatinine (%)	Max serum creatinine (mg/dL)	Min eGFR mL/min/1.73m ²	Max serum potassium (mmol/L)		Action advised		
< 50	2.5 mg/dL	30	5.0	None, uptitrate and evaluate renal function as electrolytes			
50-100	3.5 mg/dL	20	5.5	Evaluate clinical status and other causes of WRF. Consider halving MRA and re-evaluated			
	> 3.5	< 20	> 6.0		linical status and other causes of der stopping MRA and re-evaluat		

Results:

Heart Failure

2023

🔘 @ina.hf | 📞 +62811-1900-8855 | 🔄 pokjahf@gmail.com

The 3rd Indonesia Symposium on Heart

Renal approach to initiate and titrate quadruple therapy



💿 @ina.hf | 🕓 +62811-1900-8855 | 😋 pokjahf@gmail.com

The 3rd Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic disease 2023

Medical Management of Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction in Patients With Advanced Renal Disease

Aaron M. Hein, BS,^a Julia J. Scialla, MD, MHS,^{a,b} Daniel Edmonston, MD,^{a,b} Lauren B. Cooper, MD, MHS,^{a,c} Adam D. DeVore, MD, MHS,^{a,b} Robert J. Mentz, MD^{a,b}



ய

Dosage adjustments and monitoring adjustments are shown for patients with HFrEF and CKD stages G4 to G5. Adjustments refer to initial dosage with titration as tolerated based on safety. Dosing adjustments primarily derived from manufacturer labeling and package insert data when possible. †Reduced rate of titration recommended for captopril. ‡Use with caution. §Twice daily dosing. ACE-Is = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARNI = angiotensin receptor blocker/neprilysin inhibitor; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; HFrEF = heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist.



CAN WE DO SOMETHING FOR RENOPROTECTIVE ACTION?





ARNI Sacubitril/Valsartan lowers decline of eGFR





Contraindications:

- 1. History of angioedema.^a
- 2. Known bilateral renal artery stenosis.
- 3. Pregnancy/risk of pregnancy and breastfeeding period.
- 4. Known allergic reaction/other adverse reaction (drug-specific).

5. eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m^2 .

6. Symptoms of hypotension or a SBP <90 mmHg (PARADIGM-HF enrolled patients with SBP >95 mmHg at randomization)

1 N S N S S TONICIS ¹² Nonling ¹³ Nonlin

GFR = K₁ x (P_p - P₀) - (π_p - n₀)

Damman K et al JACC Heart Fail 2018 Mullens W, Martens P. JACC Heart Fail 2018.





HF induces an increased renal sodium reabsorption, especially in the proximal parts

SGLT2i decrease renin release @ macula densa





HOW TO USE SGLT2-I?

Who are the suitable candidates for inhospital sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor initiation?

Patients hospitalized for AHF without symptomatic hypotension, inotropic support, with need for increasing IV diuretic dose, or using IV vasodilators within the previous 6 h may be eligible for therapy. On the other hand, unsta-

Contraindications:

- 1. Known allergic reaction/other adverse reaction (drug-specific).
- 2. Pregnancy/risk of pregnancy and breastfeeding period.
- 3. eGFR <20 mL/min/1.73 m².*
- 4. Symptoms of hypotension or a SBP <95 mmHg.

*DAPA-CKD (dapagliflozin) enrolled patients with an eGFR > 25 mL/min/1.73 m²

Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitors improve clinical outcomes and are safe in patients with AHF and, unless contraindicated, should be rapidly initiated (within the first 5 days) in patients admitted to the hospital for decompensated HF.

D 2023

ANY MOMENT IN TIME!

The 3rd Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic disease 2023





Key messages

- 1. Acute drop in GFR with RAASi, ARNI and SGLT2-i does not diminishes treatment effect
- 2. A reduction in slope deterioration in HFrEF with ARNI and SGLT2-i is associated with reduced hard renal endpoints

Figure 2 Effect of drugs on renal slope. ARNI, angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HF, heart failure; HFrEF, heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; RAASi, renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system inhibitor; SGLT2-i, sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor. Adapted from Mullens et al.³







TAKE HOME MESSSAGES.....

- Both CKD and HF are intertwined, prevalent, and related to worse outcome
- Consider the **current situation**. Right analysis >> right decision.
- Don't overreact with WRF. Worsening renal function should not stop decongestion and/or neurohormonal blockers. Acute dip e-GFR following initiation and up-titration RAAS blocker is OK.
- SGLT2/ARNI do lower down the progression of CKD in HF
- Personalized therapy and multidiscipline approach are needed





Thank you 2023

💿 @ina.hf | 📞 +62811-1900-8855| 🖻 pokjahf@gmail.com





Which beta blocker in dialysis? I-HEFCARD 2023

💿 @ina.hf | 🔇 +62811-1900-8855 | 🔤 pokjahf@gmail.com





I-HEFCARD 2023

💿 @ina.hf | 🔇 +62811-1900-8855| 🖻 pokjahf@gmail.com



- 1) Thorough decongestion
- 2) Maintain GDMT
- 3) Ensure adequate perfusion pressure