





# Adressing Ischemic Cardiomyopathy in Heart Failure

Wahyu Aditya, MD

🞯 @ina.hf | 😥 +62 811-1900-8855 | 🗹 pokjahf@gmail.com



# Ischemic Cardiomyopathy

# State of left ventricular systolic dysfunction due to coronary artery disease.

Latest definition (introduced in STITCH trial to specify ICM definition in research fields):

Left ventricular dysfunction in the presence of severe coronary artery disease meeting **at least one of the following** conditions:

- 1. History of revascularization or acute myocardial ischemia;
- 2. Stenosis >75% in the left main (LM) or left anterior descending (LAD) vessel branch;
- 3. Presence of two or more coronary vessels with luminal stenosis >75%



Felker, G. M., Shaw, L. K. & O'Connor, C. M. A standardized definition of ischemic cardiomyopathy for use in clinical research. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. (2002) doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(01)01738-7.



# Epidemiology

There's still lack of exact number of ICM cases because the use of overlapping multiple terminology in studies, but the presence of IHD as main cause of Heart Failure portrays the possible big number of ICM cases hidden beneath.





haHI

Indonesia as the 3rd country with the highest prevalence of heart failure cases in Southeast Asia

IHD as the 1<sup>st</sup> leading cause of Heart Failure Worldwide

Feng, J., Zhang, Y. and Zhang, J. (2024) 'Epidemiology and burden of heart failure in Asia', JACC: Asia, 4(4), pp. 249–264. doi:10.1016/j.jacasi.2024.01.013.



# Pathophysiology

#### The main cause → **ISCHEMIA**

Impacts on perfusion of myocardium and its contractility that leads to pathological remodelling and further generate HF sign and symptoms.

ÍnaHF



Pathobiology of Ischemic cardiomyopathy and heart failure. (NO : nitric oxide; PG : prostaglandin; ROS : reactive oxygen species).

Schwinger RHG. Pathophysiology of heart failure. Cardiovasc Diagn Ther. 2021 Feb;11(1):263-276. doi: 10.21037/cdt-20-302. PMID: 33708498; PMCID: PMC7944197.



# Pathophysiology

3 main mechanism of Ischemic Cardiomyopathy :

- a. Myocardial Stunning
- b. Hibernating Myocardium
- c. Myocardial Scar



U

InaHF

Bernhard Haring, Michael Böhm, Coronary Revascularisation in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction, Journal of Asian Pacific Society of Cardiology 2024;3:e14



### Diagnostic Modalities to assess Myocardial Viability in patients with Ischemic Cardiomyopathy

ÍnaHF



Bernhard Haring, Michael Böhm, Coronary Revascularisation in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction, Journal of Asian Pacific Society of Cardiology 2024;3:e14



# Echocardiographic features to look for in ICM



Severe dilatation of the left ventricle is a marker of non-viable myocardium, with higher end-systolic volume indices associated with poor ventricular functional recovery.

The LV wall thickness has been shown to be an important predictor of viable myocardium, as previous studies have shown that end-diastolic wall thickness < 6 mm indicates lack of contractile reserve and functional recovery after revascularization.

Cabac-Pogorevici, I. et al. (2020) 'Ischaemic cardiomyopathy. Pathophysiological Insights, diagnostic management and the roles of Revascularisation and device treatment. gaps and dilemmas in the era of Advanced Technology', European Journal of Heart Failure, 22(5), pp. 789–799. doi:10.1002/ejhf.1747.

The 4th Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic Disease

# Cardiac MRI features to look for in ICM

ÍnaHF



CMR provides information regarding cardiac structure and function including shape, size, wall thickness, derivation of cardiac volumes and mass and assessment of global and regional wall motion abnormalities. In conjunction with dobutamine stress and gadolinium-chelated contrast enhancement (LGE), it can provide information regarding myocardial viability with contractile reserve and extent of non-viable myocardial scar tissue, respectively.

Baritussio A, Scatteia A, Bucciarelli-Ducci C. Role of cardiovascular magnetic resonance in acute and chronic ischemic heart disease. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging. 2018 Jan; 34(1):67-80. doi: 10.1007/s10554-017-1116-0. Epub 2017 Mar 18. PMID: 28315985; PMCID: PMC5797568.





# PET SCAN features to look for in ICM



The most specific pattern predicting myocardial viability and functional recovery in PET SCAN, which reflects hibernating myocardium, is referred to myocardial areas of myocardial perfusion/metabolism mismatch with reduced perfusion and hypocontractility but preserved metabolism

Cabac-Pogorevici, I., Muk, B., Rustamova, Y., Kalogeropoulos, A., Tzeis, S. and Vardas, P. (2020), Ischaemic cardiomyopathy. Pathophysiological insights, diagnostic management and the roles of revascularisation and device treatment. Gaps and dilemmas in the era of advanced technology. Eur J Heart Fail, 22: 789-799. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1747



# SPECT features to look for in ICM



Single-photon emission computed tomography stress myocardial perfusion imaging study with thallium-201 and reinjection to assess thallium redistribution in a 68-year-old male patient with heart failure symptoms and left ventricular ejection fraction of 35%. Coronary angiography showed occluded right after coronary artery. Images were taken pharmacological with stress intravenous administration of dipyridamole (0.56 mg/kg), 3 h later at rest and after reinjection of 1 mCi thallium-201. There is a fixed reduced radiotracer uptake involving the basal inferior wall, which does not improve at rest and after reinjection of the radiotracer indicating non-viable myocardium with scar tissue of the basal inferior wall segments. In the remaining inferior wall segments, there is good redistribution of the radiotracer indicating viable myocardium.

haHF

# Provides an estimation of resting perfusion, stress-induced ischaemia, scar tissue and cardiac systolic function.

Cabac-Pogorevici, I., Muk, B., Rustamova, Y., Kalogeropoulos, A., Tzeis, S. and Vardas, P. (2020), Ischaemic cardiomyopathy. Pathophysiological insights, diagnostic management and the roles of revascularisation and device treatment. Gaps and dilemmas in the era of advanced technology. Eur J Heart Fail, 22: 789-799. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1747



### **PROBLEMS IN ICM**

E

InaHF





# Pharmacological Treatment of HF

Ũ

InaHF





The 4th Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure a

Review Article OPEN 👌 ACCESS

In-hospital Initiation and Up-titration of Guideline-directed Medical Therapies for Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction

Zachary L Cox<sup>®</sup>, Shuktika Nandkeolyar<sup>®</sup>, Andrew J Johnson<sup>®</sup>, JoAnn Lindenfeld<sup>®</sup>, Aniket S Rali<sup>®</sup>

Medication	Initial Dose	Goal Dose	Titration Comments*	All-cause Mortality, HR [95% Cl]†	Mortality Relative Risk Reduction <sup>81</sup>	
Angiotensin-Conv	erting Enzyme Inhibito	rs				
Captopril	6.25 mg 3 times daily	50 mg 3 times daily	Titrate every few days	0.89 [0.82-0.96]	17%	
Enalapril	2.5 mg twice daily	10 mg twice daily	in-hospital and weekly as an outpatient			
Lisinopril	2.5 mg daily	40 mg daily	ouputent			
Ramipril	1.25 mg daily	10 mg daily				
Angiotensin Rece	ptor Blocker					
Candesartan	4 mg daily 32 mg daily Titrate every few days		Titrate every few days	0.95 [0.88–1.02]	17%	
Losartan	25 mg daily	150 mg daily	in-hospital and weekly as an outpatient			
Valsartan	40 mg twice daily	160 mg twice daily	oupdon			
Angiotensin Rece	ptor–Neprilysin Inhibit	or				
Sacubitril/valsartan	24/26 mg-49/51 mg twice daily	97/103 mg twice daily	Titrate every week	0.75 [0.66–0.85]	16%‡	
β-blockers		$J \angle UZ$				
Bisoprolol	1.25–2.5 mg daily	10 mg daily	Titrate every 2 weeks	0.78 [0.72-0.84]	35%	
Carvedilol	3.125 mg twice daily	25–50 mg twice daily				
Metoprolol XL	25 mg daily	200 mg daily				
Mineralocorticoid	Receptor Antagonists					
Spironolactone	one 12.5–25 mg daily 25–50 mg daily		Titration often not required	0.76 [0.67–0.85]	30%	
Eplerenone	25 mg daily	25–50 mg daily				
Sodium–Glucose	Cotransporter 2 Inhibi	tors				
Empagliflozin	10 mg daily	10 mg daily	Titration not required	0.88 [0.78-0.99]	17%	
Dapagliflozin	10 mg daily	10 mg daily				
ARNI + BB + MRA + SGLT2I Quadruple Therapy						
ARNI + BB + MRA + SGL	[2]			0.39 [0.31–0.49]	74%	
*Titration should be as tolo	rated and guided by clinical paran	notors, tUD for all cause modulity re	lative rick reduction compared with p	lacobo from course: Tromp of al. <sup>82</sup>	tPoplacing ACEI/ADD	

Table 1: Common Initiation and Goal Doses of Guideline-directed Medical Therapy

ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin-receptor blocker; ARNI = angiotensin receptor–neprilysin inhibitor; BB = β-blocker; MRA = mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; SGLT2I = sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor.

0811-1900-8855

Y pokjahf@gmail.com



### Is Angina a Significant Problem?



Angina does not predict all-cause mortality in medically treated patients with LV systolic dysfunction and CAD, nor does it identify patients who have a greater survival benefit from revascularization (CABG).

haHF

#### Jolicœur, E.M. et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015; 66(19):2092-100.

E. Marc Jolicœur, Allison Dunning, Serenella Castelvecchio, Rafal Dabrowski, Myron A. Waclawiw, Mark C. Petrie, Ralph Stewart, Pardeep S. Jhund, Patrice Desvigne-Nickens, Julio A. Panza, Robert O. Bonow, Benjamin Sun, Tan Ru San, Hussein R. Al-Khalidi, Jean L. Rouleau, Eric J. Velazquez, John G.F. Cleland,. Importance of Angina in Patients With Coronary Disease, Heart Failure, and Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction: Insights From STICH. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 66:19. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.08.882.

The 4th Indonesia Symposium on Heart Failure and Cardiometabolic Disease

## Is Angina a Significant Problem?

			8	Events	% (95% CI)	HR 95%CI	P-value			
				CV death + MI	7.6% (6.7 - 8.6)	1.32 (1.12 - 1.55)	< 0.001			
		Persistence of angina N = 3660	МІ	3.7% (3.0 - 4.3)	1.55 (1.22 - 1.96)	<0.001		e		
			CV death	4.8% (4.1 - 5.5)	1.22 (1.00 - 1.49)	0.05				
			All-cause death	6.8% (6.0 - 7.7)	1.01 (0.85 - 1.19)	0.92				
7212	212			Elective revascularization	6.6% (5.7 - 7.4)	2.51 (2.11 - 3.00)	<0.001			
= Z				CV death + MI	5.9% (5.0 - 6.8)	0.97 (0.82 - 1.15)	0.73			
)			Resolution of angina N = 2858	MI	3.0% (2.4 - 3.6)	1.27 (1.00 - 1.60)	0.05			
				a CV death	3.8% (3.1 - 4.6)	0.85 (0.69 - 1.04)	0.11			CV death + MI
				All-cause death	6.4% (5.5 - 7.4)	0.87 (0.74 - 1.02)	0.08	<b></b>		MI
				Elective revascularization	3.8% (3.1 - 4.6)	1.29 (1.05 - 1.58)	0.01			<ul> <li>CV death</li> </ul>
				CV death + MI	7.5% (5.9 - 9.0)	1.37 (1.11 - 1.70)	0.003			<ul> <li>All-cause death</li> </ul>
	= 25,479		Occurence of angina N = 1216	MI	3.5% (2.5 - 4.6)	1.51 (1.10 - 2.07)	0.01		4	<ul> <li>Elective</li> </ul>
				a CV death	5.3% (4.0 - 6.5)	1.38 (1.07 - 1.78)	0.01			revascularisation
				All-cause death	8.1% (6.5 - 9.7)	1.29 (1.05 - 1.59)	0.01			
,479				Elective revascularization	7.1% (5.6 - 8.6)	2.28 (1.82 - 2.85)	<0.001	H		
= 25				CV death + MI	5.3% (5.0 - 5.6)	Reference				
z		Absence of angina N = 22,106	MI	2.3% (2.1 - 2.5)	Reference					
			CV death	3.7% (3.5 - 4.0)	Reference					
			All-cause death	6.1% (5.8 - 6.4)	Reference					
				Elective revascularization	3.2% (2.9 - 3.4)	Reference		+		
		Death :	408				05	1 .		-
		MI or re	evascularization : 728				0.5		2	+ •
	Missing value at 1 year : 1715					Low	wer risk High	er risk		

Angina affects almost onequarter of patients with stable coronary artery disease but resolves without events or coronary revascularization in most patients. Resolution of angina within 1 year with conservative management predicted outcomes similar to lack of angina, whereas persistence or occurrence was associated with worse outcomes (cardiovascular death or myocardial infarction)

Mesnier, J. et al. (2021) 'International observational analysis of evolution and outcomes of chronic stable angina: The multinational clarify study', Circulation, 144(7), pp. 512–523. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.121.054567





#### **Ranolazine Haemodynamic Effect**

zina

Anti-angina effect of Ranolazine do not depend upon changes on heart rate, blood pressure or vasodilation

\* normal ejection fraction; \*\* heart rate > 70 bpm
BB = beta blocker; CCB = calcium channel blocker; DHP = dihydropyridine; SBP = systolic blood pressure

Manolis AJ, Poulimenos LE, Ambrosio G, Kallistratos MS, Lopez-Sendon J, Dechend R, Mancia G, Camm AJ. Medical treatment of stable angina: A tailored therapeutic approach. Int J Cardiol. 2016 Oct 1;220:445-53.



#### MERLIN-TIMI 36: adding ranolazine reduced the risk of recurrent ischemia with or without PCI

#### Patients experiencing recurrent ischemia with or without PCI



Ranolazine significantly reduced the risk of recurrent ischemia with or without PCI vs placebo in patients with ACS who had prior chronic angina<sup>1</sup>

haHF

There was no evidence for heterogeneity in the benefit of adding ranolazine between patients who did, and who did not undergo PCI (p interaction=0.39).

1. Modified from Gutiérrez JA et al. Clin Cardiol 2015;38:469–75 (from figure 2).





E

InaHF

# PCI or CABG?

🔇 0811-1900-8855 🛛 🖂 pokjahf@gmail.com 🛛 🙆 @ina.hf



#### When is 'appropriate' for PCI Revascularization in Angina?

FIGURE 2 Appropriateness Criteria for PCI in Patients With Intermediate- and High-Risk Noninvasive Testing

Intermediate-Risk and High-Risk Noninvasive Risk Stratification includes resting mild/moderate LVSD (LVEF 35%-49%) and severe LVSD (LVEF <35%) respectively not readily explained by noncoronary causes

Angiographic Presentation	No Symptoms	Symptoms (O AA Drugs)	Symptoms (1 AA Drug)	Symptoms (≥2 AA Drugs)
1-vessel disease	М	М	М	A
2-vessel disease (no proximal LAD)	М	М	А	А
2-vessel disease with proximal LAD (regardless of diabetes)	M	A	DA L	А
3-vessel disease of low complexity (i.e., SYNTAX ≤22) and no diabetes	М	А	А	А
3-vessel disease of low complexity (i.e., SYNTAX ≤22) and diabetes	М	М	А	А
3-vessel disease of high complexity (i.e., SYNTAX >22) (regardless of diabetes)	М	М	м	м

The 2017 appropriateness criteria for revascularization do not provide a recommendation for PCI in patients with LVSD due to insufficient data. Nonetheless, resting LVEF <35% and LVEF 35% to 49% not readily explained by noncoronary causes are deemed high- and intermediate-risk findings respectively on noninvasive study. PCI in these settings has been deemed "appropriate" or "maybe appropriate" depending on symptoms, antianginal therapy, presence of diabetes mellitus, and angiographic presentation. Adapted from Patel et al. (49). A = appropriate; AA = antianginal; CAD = coronary artery disease; LAD = left anterior descending artery; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; LVSD = left ventricular systolic dysfunction; M = maybe appropriate; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

Parikh, P.B. et al. (2021) 'Impact of percutaneous coronary intervention on outcomes in patients with heart failure', Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 77(19), pp. 2432–2447. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2021.03.310.



#### Recent Study Summary of Revascularization as part of ICM Management

Table 1: Randomised Controlled Trials on Revascularisation in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction Patients with Ischaemic Cardiomyopathy

	HEART <sup>9</sup>	PARR-2 <sup>10,11</sup>	STICH <sup>12,13</sup>	REVIVED-BCIS2 <sup>14</sup>
Number of enrolled patients	138	430	1,212	700
Primary outcome	All-cause mortality	Cardiac death, MI or hospitalisation for cardiac cause	All-cause mortality	All-cause mortality, hospitalisation for HF
LVEF at enrolment	24%	27%	28%	27%
Revascularisation method	CABG and PCI	CABG and PCI	CABG	PCI
Follow-up (median)	59 months	5 years	4.7 years <sup>12</sup> 9.8 years <sup>13</sup>	41 months
Outcome	No significant benefit of revascularisation over OMT	No significant difference between PET-guided and standard strategies	No benefit of CABG over OMT at 5 years After 10 years CABG was associated with a lower all-cause mortality than OMT (0.72; 95% CI [0.64–0.82]; p<0.001)	No significant benefit of revascularisation over OMT

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; OMT = optimal medical therapy; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

Bernhard Haring, Michael Böhm, Coronary Revascularisation in Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction, Journal of Asian Pacific Society of Cardiology 2024;3:e14



# **REVIVED trial**

### STICH trial



**Author conclusion:** "Compared with OMT alone, PCI neither reduced the occurrence of death or hospitalization for heart failure nor influenced the degree of left ventricular recovery in patients with severe ischemic left ventricular dysfunction"

**Author conclusion:** "The STICH trial showed that in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy, coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) + medical therapy resulted in higher mortality at 30 days, but with a significant improvement in long-term mortality (out to 10 years) compared with medical therapy alone."

haHF

Perera, D. *et al.* (2023d) 'Arrhythmia and death following percutaneous revascularization in ischemic left ventricular dysfunction: Prespecified analyses from the revived-BCIS2 trial', *Circulation*, 148(11), pp. 862–871. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.123.065300. Velazquez EJ, Lee KL, Jones RH, Al-Khalidi HR, Hill JA, Panza JA, Michler RE, Bonow RO, Doenst T, Petrie MC, Oh JK, She L, Moore VL, Desvigne-Nickens P, Sopko G, Rouleau JL; STICHES Investigators. Coronary-Artery Bypass Surgery in Patients with Ischemic Cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med. 2016 Apr 21;374(16):1511-20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1602001. Epub 2016 Apr 3. PMID: 27040723; PMCID: PMC4938005.



### EURO SCORE - II

 The European System for Cardiac Operative Risk Evaluation (EuroSCORE), which have been tested specifically in STICH patients, is a cardiac risk model that would appear suitable to predict the 30-day postoperative mortality after cardiac surgery. It was first introduced in 1999 and renewed recently in 2012.

Patient-related fact	ors	Cardiac-related fact		0/	EuroSCORE II			
age 0 70		CCS angina class 4 0	CCS angina class 4 0 no		5 52 %			
olological sex	Male		poor (LVEF 21%-30%)	-	0.02 /0			
chronic lung disease 0		recent MI O	recent MI O		Based on the information you have provided if 100 people with a similar condition had a similar operation. 5 to 5 may be expect to die			
extracardiac arteriopathy 0		pulmonary hypertension 0	No	- whe	ereas 94 to 95 would be expected to survive. Your EuroSCORE is 5.52.			
oor mobility 0		no NYHA class	1	-				
previous cardiac surgery 0	(				reset			
active endocarditis 0	(	Operation-related fa	ictors					
critical preoperative state 0	(	no surgery on thoracic aorta 3		•	<4 % : low surgical risk			
renal impairment	moderate (CC 50.85 milmin)	urgency of operation O	elective	-	>4% : high surgical risk			
Constituine clearence	Current for the second	weight of operation 0	2 procedures	$\overline{}$				
Eabetes on insulin	(	NOC						



### Prevention of IHD as the basis of ICM Prevention

Ü

InaHF



Mesnier, J. et al. (2021) 'International observational analysis of evolution and outcomes of chronic stable angina: The multinational clarify study', Circulation, 144(7), pp. 512–523. doi:10.1161/circulationaha.121.054567.



# Device Therapy Recommendation on HF following CAD

InaHF

🔇 0811-1900-8855 📔 🔀 pokjahf@gmail.com 📔 🙆 @ina.hf



#### Device Therapy: Additional treatment for HF Patients

(refractory to maximally tolerated medical therapy)



ÍnaHF

Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Colvin MM, Drazner MH, Filippatos GS, Fonarow GC, Givertz MM, Hollenberg SM, Lindenfeld J, Masoudi FA, McBride PE, Peterson PN, Stevenson LW, Westlake C. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. Circulation. 2017 Aug 8;136(6):e137e161. doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000059. Epub 2017 Apr 28. PMID: 28455343.

0811-1900-8855



### Summary of 'proposed algorithm' on Managing ICM

InaHF



CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; CMRI = cardiac MRI; LV = left ventricle; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.



# TAKE HOME MESSAGE

- ICM represents an interesting clinical puzzle, relating to the vast spectrum of the underlying pathophysiological entities and clinical manifestations
- Both diagnosis and treatment remain a challenge
- Major problems : HF, angina, device
- HF treatment : 4 pillars
- Angina treatment : Beta blocker , Ranolazine
- Anti-angina effect of Ranolazine do not depend upon changes on heart rate, blood pressure or vasodilation
- Individualised approach should be implemented by the HEART TEAM → in the decision making process : PCI –CABG – ICD -CRT



# THANK YOU I-HEFCARD 2024

E

InaHF